



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/516,800  | 03/01/2000     | Thomas J. Kolze      | 12-1038             | 2502            |
| 75  | 590 05/06/2003 |                      |                     |                 |
| Patent Counsel TRW Incorporation Space & Electronics Group One Space Park E2/6072 Redondo Beach, CA 90278 |                |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
|   |                |                      | BAYARD, EMMANUEL    |                 |
|   |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| Redelide Bedeit, Cri 70270  |                |                      | 2631                | 54 C            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

|   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 09/516,800  | KOLZE ET AL.  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   | 2631  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| THE   - External effer   - If the   - If NC   - Failure   - Any r   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the replayer of the office later than three months after the mailing date of the replayer. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS file. cause the application to become ABANDO | a timely filed  days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>   | <u>March 2000</u> .   | •   |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T  | his action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4) 🖂  | Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application   | n. ·  |   |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | ion Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on ½//oo is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes   | ·   |   |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2)  Notic  Notic  Notic   | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Inform   | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Ti<br>PTO-326 (Re   |   | ction Summary   | Part of Paper No. 6   |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2631

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burch et al U.S. Patent No 5,680,422 in view of Gaudet U.S. Patent No 6,285,726 B1.

As per claims 1 and 16, Burch et al discloses a communication system, apparatus for transporting a plurality of sampled signals from a first location to a second location comprising in combination (see figs. 1-7: a source of one or more data signals (see fig.6 element 51) and one or more clock signals (see fig.6 element 54) at said first location (see figs. 2, 6 elements 26, 50); a reference clock generating (see fig.6 element 136 and col.6, lines 54-60) one or more reference signals at said first location (see figs. 2, 6 elements 26, 50); a phase comparator is functionally equivalent t o the claimed (phase difference estimator) (see fig.6 element 137 and col.6, lines 53-67 and col.7, lines 11-20) for generating a phase signal representing at least an estimate of the difference in phase between one of said clock signals and one of said reference signals; a communication channel transmitting (see fig.2 element 28 and col.1, line 62) said one or more data signals, said one or more clock signals and said phase signal to said second location.

Application/Control Number: 09/516,800

Art Unit: 2631

However Burch et al does not teach a resampler filter located at said second location; a selector responsive to said phase signal for conditioning the resample filter in response to said phase signal, said conditioned resample filter being responsive to said one ore more data signals for generating one ore more resampled data signals at the second location.

Gauchet teaches an interpolator is functionally equivalent to the claimed (resampler filter) (see fig.5 element 106 and col.6, lines 15, 30) located at said second location; a selector (see fig.5 element 140 or 136 and col.8, lines 23-67 and col.9, lines 5-15) responsive to said phase signal for conditioning the resample filter in response to said phase signal, said conditioned resample filter being responsive to said one ore more data signals for generating one ore more resampled data signals at the second location.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the resample filter and the selector of Gauchet in to Burch et al as to adjust the clock signal by selecting a different phase of N available phases that are provided by a clock generation using an N:1 phase multiplexer and a secondary mux that provides further phase resolution using the interpolator as taught by Gauchet (see col.5, lines 60-64).

As per claims 2-5, the apparatus of Burch et al does include a memory or buffer (see fig.6 element 55). Furthermore implement such memory in a selector would have been obvious to one skilled in the art so that N available different phases which are stored in the memory could be appropriately selected to adjust the clock signal.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/516,800 Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

As per claim 6, the apparatus of Burch et al does include a second source or more clock signals located at the second location (see fig.7 element 150). However implementing such apparatus to clock one or more data signals from said resample filter would have obvious to one skilled in the art so that phase adjustment of data signals could accurately be determined during the operation.

As per claim 7, the apparatus of Burch et al does include a plurality of multiplexed data signals and a demultiplexer (see figs 1-7).

As per claim 8, the apparatus of Burch et al does include extracting frame synch signal signals (see fig.6 element 53).

As per claim 9, the apparatus of Burch would include a first divided clock signal having a first frequency and a second divided clock signal having a second frequency so that the phase estimator could indicate whether a predefined edge of the write clock precede or follow a corresponding predefined edge of the reference clock.

As per claim 10, the apparatus of Burch et al does include a data insertion module (see fig.6 element 55 or 52).

As per claim 11, the apparatus of Burch et al does include frame synch signals (see fig.6 element 53).

As per claim 12, the apparatus of Burch et al does include a phase difference estimator (see fig.6 element 137).

Application/Control Number: 09/516,800 Page 5

Art Unit: 2631

As per claim 13, the apparatus of Burch would include a first divided clock signal having a first frequency and a second divided clock signal having a second frequency so that the phase estimator could indicate whether a predefined edge of the write clock precede or follow a corresponding predefined edge of the reference clock.

As per claim 14, the apparatus of Burch et al would include a packetizing module to transmit said data signals as to enhance the system capability and further facilitate the synchronization the data signals at different phases during the operation.

As per claim 15, the apparatus of Burch et al does include a plurality of multiplexed data signals (see figs. 1-7).

As per claims 17-19, the apparatus of Burch et al does include a memory or buffer or storage (see fig. 6 element 55). Furthermore implement such memory in a conditioning would have been obvious to one skilled in the art so that N available different phases which are stored in the memory could be appropriately selected to adjust the clock signal.

As per claim 20, the apparatus of Burch et al does include a storing element (see fig.6 element 55).

As per claim 21, the apparatus of Burch et al does include a unsynchronized clocking with said data signal at the first location (fig.6 element 51).

As per claims 22 and 30, the apparatus of Burch et al does include a plurality of multiplexed data signals and a demultiplexer (see figs 1-7).

Application/Control Number: 09/516,800

Art Unit: 2631

As per claims 23, 26 and 27, the apparatus of Burch et al does include frame synch from

said multiplexer (see fig.6 element 53).

As per claims 24 and 28, the apparatus of Burch would include a first divided clock signal

Page 6

having a first frequency and a second divided clock signal having a second frequency so that the

phase estimator could indicate whether a predefined edge of the write clock precede or follow a

corresponding predefined edge of the reference clock.

As per claim 25, the apparatus of Burch et al does include inserting said phase signal into

said plurality of channels (see figs. 1-6).

As per claim 29, the apparatus of Burch et al would include transmitting said data signals,

said clock signal and said phase signal in packets to said second location as to enhance the system

capability and further facilitate the synchronization the data signals at different phases during the

operation.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Wang U.S. Patent No 5,563,8891 teaches a waiting time jitter.

Menzi et al U.S. Patent No 6,470,033 B1 teaches a Padding process for Plesiochronous data

transmission.

Van der Putten et al U.S. Patent No method to transparently transport an incoming clock signal.

Art Unit: 2631

Suzuki U.S. Patent No 5,694,174 teaches a television system.

Brun et al U.S. Patent no 5,519,737 teaches an adapter for the connection to a clear-channel.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Bayard whose telephone number is (703) 308-9573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Emmanuel Bayard

Patent Examiner

April 28, 2003